1	Q.	Impa	cts re:	Interconnections of Isolated Rural Systems to Island			
2		Inter	connec	cted System			
3							
4		1.	Provi	de a table indicating for each year from 1992 to 2002 inclusive			
5			the fo	llowing information related to interconnections of Isolated Rural			
6			Syste	ystems to the Island Interconnected System that has been			
7			under	taken during this period (based on H.G Budgell, pages 13 and			
8			14, th	ese include the interconnection of the Petite Forte community in			
9			1993,	St. Anthony-Roddickton System in 1996, the community of			
10			West	port in 1996, the community of South East Bight in 1998, and the			
11			comm	nunity of LaPoile in 1999):			
12							
13			(a)	Indicate for each year the operating load (actual or forecast)			
14				applicable if the community or system is on the Isolated Rural			
15				System (for years after interconnection, this load is to be			
16				estimated); indicate sales separate from distribution losses.			
17			(b)	Based on (a), indicate for each year the net reduction in			
18				Isolated Rural System load due to interconnections to date.			
19			(c)	Based on (a) and (b), estimate for each year the change in			
20				Isolated Diesel System revenue requirement costs of service			
21				and contribution to the Rural Deficit due to interconnections to			
22				date.			
23			(d)	For each year starting with interconnection, indicate the new			
24				operating load contributed to the Island Interconnected System			
25				by these each interconnection (indicate sales separately for			

Hydro Rural Interconnected and NP, and also indicate

transmission losses separately).

26

27

•	٦,	٣		-	٠.	_	• •	٠
i	n -	_	_	•	_	£	_	

Page 2 of 5 1 (e) Based on (d), indicate for each year the net increase in Island 2 Interconnected System load due to interconnections to date. 3 (f) Based on (d) and (e), estimate for each year the change in 4 Island Interconnected System revenue requirement costs of 5 service and contribution to the Rural Deficit due to 6 interconnections to date. 7 (g) Based on (c) and (f) above, indicate for each year the net 8 change in the Rural Deficit for that year, and (separately) any 9 net change in the RSP for that year, due to interconnections to 10 date. 11 12 2. Based on the information developed in response to (1) above, 13 compare COSS estimates (including Rural Deficit) as presented in 14 Schedule 1.2 of Exhibit JAB-1, page 3 of 94 for the 2002 test year with 15 estimated COSS (and Rural Deficit) that would apply if none of the 16 interconnections set out in (1) above had taken place to date. Provide 17 all supporting schedules for the new COSS estimate. 18 19 3. Provide a COSS analysis for the Island Interconnected System for test 20 year 2002 assuming that the Great Northern Peninsula system 138 kV 21 and 66 kV transmission lines and associated terminal station 22 equipment connecting the Hawkes Bay Diesel Plant, St. Anthony 23 Diesel Plant and Roddickton generation plant to the main gird are 24 assigned to Hydro Rural Sub-transmission rather than to Common. 25 26 4. Adjust the COSS in (3) above to assume that the generation assets in 27 the Great Northern Peninsula system are also assigned to the rural 28 system.

<u>, , , </u>	<u> </u>	•••		•••	•••
$\overline{\mathbf{n}}$		_	$\overline{}$	- £	_

1		5.	Prov	ide a copy of all studies conducted by Hydro evaluating the cost
2				tiveness of each of the interconnections in (1) above, either
3				re or after each interconnection.
4			DCIO	e of after each interconficultion.
5		6.	In 10	95, the Board recommended "that the prudence of costs
		0.		•
6				ciated with the St. Anthony/Roddickton interconnection be
7				wed at the next Hydro rate referral, following the interconnection,
8				ne purpose of determining recoverable costs." Provide all
9			evide	ence available to Hydro as to why this interconnection was
10			unde	ertaken, and that the costs were prudently incurred and in the best
11			inter	est of customers on the Island Interconnected System.
12				
13	A.	1.	(a)	See IC 203A on attached table.
14				
15			(b)	See IC 203B on attached table.
16			` ,	
17			(c)	Subsequent to interconnection, costs on a hypothetical non-
18			()	interconnected or isolated basis are no longer tracked, as they
19				no longer reflect the operations nor financial situation of the
20				company. It would not be possible to complete the requested
21				information, as significant material data is unavailable.
22				Moreover, the information requested is unnecessary for a
23				satisfactory understanding of the matters regarding Hydro's
24				application before the Board.
25				
26			(d)	See IC 203D on attached table.
27				
28			(e)	See IC 203E on attached table

IC-203 Revised 2001 General Rate Application

			2001 Scherari	ate Application		
1		(f)	Please refer to the response to 1(c) above.	Page 4 of 5		
2						
3		(g)	Please refer to the response to 1(c) above.			
4						
5	1.	Pleas	se refer to the response IC-203 1(c) above.			
6						
7	2.	Pleas	se refer to the response to IC-180.			
8						
9	3.	Pleas	Please refer to the response to IC-87.			
10						
11	4.	See a	attached Interconnection Studies as requested.			
12						
13	6.	See I	C-203(6) Revised.			

System

													Page 5 of 5
		1992	1993	1994	1995	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	Forecast
IC 203A	System & Interconnection Year	MWh	MWh	MWh	MWh	MWh	MWh	MWh	MWh	MWh	MWh	MWh	Year
	-,												(Diesel)
	Petite Forte 1993	352	382	394	405	416	428	439	451	463	475	487	1991
	St. Anthony Roddickton 1996	40411	40123	39819	39667	41417	41820	42224	42599	42974	43368	43762	1994
	Westport 1996	1294	1329	1318	1314	1326	1336	1343	1350	1354	1358	1362	1996
	South East Bight 1998	345	363	376	394	412	437	430	437	443	450	457	1996
	LaPoile 1999	452	435	446	452	472	529	528	525	521	517	509	1998
	Total Sales	42854	42632	42353	42232	44043	44550	44964	45362	45755	46168	46577	
	Distribution Losses	4607	5189	4601	4217	4771	4760	4837	4918	4961	5097	5141	
	Total Load	47461	47821	46954	46449	48814	49310	49801	50280	50716	51265	51718	
IC 203B	Net Reduction in load		407	420	431	47873	48344	49273	50280	50716	51265	51718	
			4000	4004	400=	4000	400=	1000	4000		0004	2222	
	0 1 011		1993	1994	1995	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	
IC 203D	System & Interconnection Year		MWh	MWh	MWh	MWh	MWh	MWh	MWh	MWh	MWh	MWh	
	Petite Forte 1993	1	128	436	456	458	452	455	460	462	479	479	
	St. Anthony Roddickton 1996	<u> </u>				14451	42870	45203	46997	50478	51452	51983	
	Westport 1996					264	1404	1417	1453	1494	1532	1548	
	South East Bight 1998 1				<u> </u>			358	518	527	535	540	
	LaPoile 1999 2								533	567	667	680	
	Additional Sales		128	436	456	15173	44726	47433	49961	53528	54665	55230	
	Distribution Losses		21	38	36	950	3226	2707	3451	2829	3486	3527	
	Additional Load		149	474	492	16123	47952	50140	53412	56357	58151	58757	
	Transmission Losses 3		6	20	18	571	1688	2002	2104	2074	2122	2258	
IC 203E	Additional Load on Island Interconnected		155	494	510	16694	49640	52142	55516	58431	60273	61015	

^{1.} South East Bight is metered with Monkstown. Distribution losses are estimated

^{2.} LaPoile is metered with Grand Bruit & Hope Brook. Distribution losses are estimated

1 Q. Impacts re: Interconnections of Isolated Rural Systems to Island 2 Interconnected System 3 4 6. In 1995, the Board recommended "that the prudence of costs associated 5 with the St. Anthony/Roddickton interconnection be reviewed at the next Hydro rate referral, following the interconnection, for the purpose of 6 7 determining recoverable costs." Provide all evidence available to Hydro 8 as to why this interconnection was undertaken, and that the costs were 9 prudently incurred and in the best interest of customers on the Island 10 Interconnected System. 11 12 13 A. The report entitled "Great Northern Peninsula Interconnection Study" 14 dated October 18, 1993 (attached to IC-203(5)) reviewed several 15 interconnection alternatives. The report determined that while technically 16 viable, the interconnection did not meet the minimum economic guideline 17 applied by Hydro Management when approving interconnection projects. 18 19 However, early in 1994 the Canada/Newfoundland Infrastructure Initiative 20 was announced and Hydro applied for and was granted \$5.0 million to be 21 applied toward the interconnection of St. Anthony/Roddickton system. 22 Analysis indicated that this funding improved the economics of the 23 proposed interconnection and a decision was made to proceed. 24 25 The interconnection scheme approved was very similar to interconnection 26 alternative #4 – 138 kV Bear Cove to St. Anthony Airport as outlined in 27 the October 18, 1993 report, with the following changes:

Page 2 of 6

1 The in-service date had been moved from 1998 to 1996 in order to take 2 advantage of funding under the Infrastructure Agreement; 3 4 The Hawke's Bay diesels were to be relocated to the Roddickton 5 Woodchip Plant; 6 The Roddickton woodchip fired thermal generating station was to be 7 modified to burn #2 fuel oil and placed on standby; and 8 Switched shunt reactors and capacitors were to be used for voltage 9 control instead of static var compensators. 10 11 Subsequent to project approval, the following changes were made to the 12 interconnection concept: 13 14 It was decided to leave the diesel units at Hawke's Bay and not 15 relocate them to Roddickton; and 16 It was decided not to convert the Roddickton Woodchip Plant to an oil 17 fired operation. 18 19 The interconnection alternative approved had an estimated capital cost of 20 \$38.4 million or a net cost of \$33.4 million including the \$5.0 million 21 Infrastructure grant. A cost effectiveness analysis, which incorporated the 22 Infrastructure grant as well as revised load forecasts, was completed. The 23 revised load forecasts, fuel series and Holyrood incremental energy rates 24 are shown in Schedule 1-3 respectively. The following table summarizes 25 the results of the analysis and copies of the complete cost effectiveness

26

analysis are attached.

Page 3 of 6

SUMMARY OF COST EFFECTIVE ANALYSIS (1993\$ X 1000)						
Cumulative Present	t Worth to 2022	Present Worth	Payback Period			
Isolated Alternative	Interconnected	Difference at	Years			
	Alternative	2011				

Given that the payback period is less than Hydro's minimum economic guideline that interconnection projects must have payback periods not exceeding 15 years, the decision was made to proceed with the project. The project, when completed, cost approximately \$31.4 million and with the \$5.0 million infrastructure grant resulted in a net cost of \$26.4 million.

1

2

3

4

5

Page 4 of 6

SCHEDULE 1 GNP INTERCONNECTION ANALYSIS LOAD FORECASTS

REVISED MAY 1994

	St. Ar	stem					
Year	Isolated	Forecast	Interco	nnected	Existing GNP System Forecast		
			Fore	cast			
	(kW)	(MWh)	(kW)	(MWh)	(kW)	(MWh)	
1994	11263	51412	-	-	26791	112545	
1995	11539	51922	-	-	27999	117812	
1996	11640	52360	-	-	28586	120272	
1997	11742	52808	11348	49209	29149	122624	
1998	11845	53257	12676	54687	29696	124920	
1999	11941	53673	13535	58097	30249	127234	
2000	12037	54090	14064	60370	30740	129295	
2001	12140	56222	14596	63930	31208	131260	
2002	12257	56751	15050	65920	31689	133279	
2003	12371	57267	15399	67447	32159	135255	
2004	12517	57926	15705	68786	32605	137131	
2005	12665	58591	16016	70152	32986	138735	
2006	12832	59348	16285	71328	33365	140342	
2007	13002	60112	16555	72513	33705	141780	
2008	13163	60838	16790	73540	34008	143055	
2009	13295	61432	17002	74471	34339	144452	
2010	13438	62078	17225	75446	34682	145898	
2011	13590	62767	17457	76460	35004	147259	
2012	13739	63436	17678	77430	35296	148496	
2013	13871	64033	17877	78299	35581	149696	
2014	13971	64484	18058	79092	35866	150901	
2015	14082	64984	18244	79908	36132	152021	
2016	14203	65532	18436	80751	36398	153138	
2017	14309	66010	18604	81483	36673	154300	
2018	14400	66419	18747	82111	36938	155418	
2019	14497	66855	18901	82784	37177	156423	
2020	14603	67334	19059	83480	37404	157378	
2021	14697	67760	19200	84096	37642	158384	
2022	14777	68119	19319	84617	37882	159394	

Note: Existing GNP system forecasts include existing loads from Bonne Bay to the Flower's Cove area.

Page 5 of 6

SCHEDULE 2 GNP INTERCONNECTION ANALYSIS FUEL SERIES

REVISED APRIL 1994

Year	Residual Fuel \$/BBL	Diesel Fuel \$/L	Wood Fuel \$/Tonne	
1993	15.4	0.198	29.21	
1994	13.8	0.190	29.21	
1995	14.0	0.201	29.21	
1996	15.2	0.216	29.21	
1997	16.4	0.232	32.11	
1998	17.6	0.247	32.11	
1999	18.9	0.262	32.11	
2000	20.2	0.278	32.11	
2001	21.8	0.298	36.12	
2002	22.5	0.304	36.12	
2003	23.1	0.308	36.12	
2004	23.9	0.315	36.12	
2005	24.3	0.322	40.36	
2006	24.8	0.328	40.36	
2007	25.3	0.335	40.36	
2008	25.8	0.342	40.36	
2009	26.3	0.348	45.04	
2010	26.9	0.355	45.04	
2011	27.4	0.363	45.04	
2012	28.2	0.369	45.04	
2013	29.8	0.376	49.58	
2014	30.6	0.384	49.58	
2015	31.6	0.392	49.58	
2016	32.5	0.400	49.58	
2017	33.5	0.408	54.55	
2018	34.4	0.416	54.55	
2019	35.3	0.423	54.55	
2020	36.3	0.432	54.55	
2021	37.2	0.440	60.01	
2022	38.2	0.449	60.01	

Page 6 of 6

SCHEDULE 3 GNP INTERCONNECTION ANALYSIS HOLYROOD INCREMENTAL ENERGY RATES

REVISED APRIL 1994

	Energy Rate
Year	\$/kWh
1997	0.0271
1998	0.0291
1999	0.0312
2000	0.0334
2001	0.0360
2002	0.0372
2003	0.0382
2004	0.0395
2005	0.0402
2006	0.0410
2007	0.0418
2008	0.0426
2009	0.0435
2010	0.0445
2011	0.0453
2012	0.0466
2013	0.0493
2014	0.0506
2015	0.0522
2016	0.0537
2017	0.0554
2018	0.0569
2019	0.0583
2020	0.0600
2021	0.0615
2022	0.0631

Note: Assumes a Holyrood efficiency of 605 kWh/Barrel